arXiv:1611.03433v1 [hep-ph] 10 Nov 2016

November 11, 2016

Top Signatures From Composite Higgs Theories

Natascia Vignaroli[1]

CP³-Origins, University of Southern Denmark, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark

Many compelling theories to address the Higgs hierarchy problem predict strong interactions between the top and a sector of New Physics. In minimal composite Higgs models (CHM), the top interactions with a BSM strongly-interacting sector give the leading contribution to trigger the EWSB and generate a light mass for the pseudo-NG Higgs. This implies that new composite states (vector-like top-partners, composite vector resonances, new composite scalars) dominantly interact with third-generation quarks and, when produced at colliders, generate top quarks in the final state. I will indicate interesting signatures involving top quarks for CHM discovery/test at the LHC and future colliders.

PRESENTED AT

9^{th} International Workshop on Top Quark Physics
Olomouc, Czech Republic, September 19–23, 2016

[1]DNRF90

1 Introduction

Composite Higgs models are very compelling theories to address the Higgs hierarchy problem. The electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) is triggered by a new strong dynamics, composite at the TeV scale, from which the Higgs emerges as a composite state. The composite Higgs can be significantly lighter than other composite resonances (which have masses of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV) if it is also the Nambu-Goldstone boson associated to the breaking of a global symmetry, $\mathcal{G}$, of the strong sector [1]. The Higgs potential is generated by an explicit breaking of $\mathcal{G}$. In minimal realizations of the composite Higgs paradigm [2] the leading source of the breaking is provided by the top interactions with the strong sector. The implications are that we expect vectorlike quarks (VLQ) top-partners with masses $\lesssim 1$ TeV [3] and large couplings between the top and the new composite resonances.

2 Top-partner VLQs

In minimal composite Higgs models it is considered a scenario of *partial compositeness* of the SM fermions [4]. Elementary fermions mix linearly with composite VLQs from the new strong sector. The physical states are thus SM fermions and new VLQ heavy fermions which are superpositions of elementary and composite modes. Heavier SM fermions, as the top, have a larger superposition with the strong sector and thus a larger degree of compositeness (s_L, s_R).
The minimal fermionic content of the strong sector, needed to generate the top mass, include an $SU(2)_L$ doublet of $(T\,B)$ VLQs, partner of $q_L = (t_L\, b_L)$, and an EW singlet $\tilde{T}$, partner ot t_R. In order to protect the ρ parameter and the $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$ coupling from large corrections, the presence of a custodial symmetry in the strong sector is strongly favored. The minimal fermionic spectrum thus includes an EW bi-doublet of VLQs and we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} T & T_{5/3} \\ B & T_{2/3} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (2,2)_X \qquad \tilde{T} \equiv (1,1)_X \ , \tag{1}$$

where we have indicated the representations under $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$ (the hypercharge is realized as $Y = X + T_R^3$). The additional doublet of exotic VLQs, $(T_{5/3}\, T_{2/3})$, thus appears as a consequence of the custodial symmetry. The "custodian" VLQs, that cannot mix directly with q_L, are lighter than $(T\,B)$. The difference in mass increases for larger t_L degree of compositeness. In the limit $\lambda/m_{VLQ} \ll 1$, with λ the Yukawa coupling between VLQs and EW Goldstone bosons, we have the pattern of decay BRs: *

*Outside the $\lambda/m_{VLQ} \ll 1$ regime a more complete description, including the full diagonalization of the fermionic mass matrices, is needed and deviations from the pattern of BRs predicted by the equivalence theorem may be relevant [5].

$$\tilde{T} \to Wb,\, Zt,\, ht\ (2:1:1) \qquad T, T_{2/3} \to Zt,\, ht\ (1:1) \qquad B, T_{5/3} \to Wt\,. \tag{2}$$

Tops are thus produced by the decays of the VLQs. VLQs can be produced at the LHC in pairs. The production in this case is purely regulated by the SM QCD group. An alternative production mode is also possible: the single EW production [6, 7]. Searching in the single production channel brings several advantages. First, the cross section is typically enhanced compared to pair production. Then the signal topology is very peculiar, with the presence, for example, of a forward jet in the final state, which can be used to discriminate the signal from the background. Further, it would allow the measurement of the VLQ couplings to EW bosons, thus permitting to gain information on the sector behind the EWSB. Fig. 1 shows interesting signals of VLQ single production with tops in the final state either coming from the VLQ or radiated from the initial parton. Experimental analyses have been performed in some of the single production channels at the 8 TeV run-1 of the LHC [9, 10].



Figure 1: Single production channels for: (Left) EW singlet $\tilde{T}$, (Middle) B or $T_{5/3}$, (Right) EW singlet bottom-partner (predicted, for example, in models with VLQs in a 10 of $SO(5)$ [8]).

3 Vector resonances

In the partial compositeness framework, below the threshold for decays to VLQs, vector resonances generated from the new strong sector (W', Z', heavy gluons, etc.)



Figure 2: W' decay BRs as function of $m_{W'}$. The mass of the custodian VLQ is fixed to 0.9 TeV and the top degree of compositeness to an intermediate value $s_L = 0.5$.

decay dominantly to third-generation quarks and, in the case of EW resonances, to longitudinal W, Z bosons. However, the naturalness argument as well as indications from electroweak precision data and flavor observables suggest a spectrum where vector resonances are heavier than VLQs [11,12]. Fig. 2 shows the typical scenario for the decay BRs of a W' resonance (similar BRs are found for other types of resonances, Z', G', etc.), with dominant decays to VLQs. It is thus interesting to search at the LHC for "cascade" topologies as those in Fig. 3, where vector resonances decay to one or pairs of VLQs and the tops are typically generated by the decays of the VLQs. The main production mechanism at the LHC is the Drell-Yan. For the EW resonances, the vector-boson-fusion channel can also become relevant at a futuristic 100 TeV pp collider [14, 15].



Figure 3: Cascade topologies for vector resonances. (a) heavy-light decay topologies for a heavy gluon in the Wtb final state. Other interesting possible final states include $h/Zt\bar{t}$, $Z/hb\bar{b}$ [11] or final states coming from G' decays into pairs of VLQs [13]. (b) Cascade topologies for a W' [12].

4 New composite scalars

New composite scalars may also appear in composite Higgs models. For example, in next-to-minimal cosets as $SU(4)/Sp(4)$ or larger, axtra pNGBs are present which may dominantly decay to $t\bar{t}$ [16–18]. The new strong dynamics can also generate η'-like states with anomalous decays to gauge bosons [19] and which may be directly coupled to tops. The dominant production mode in this case is the top-mediated gluon fusion and the dominant decay is in $t\bar{t}$ [20]. In scenarios where the QCD group

emerges from a breaking $SU(3)_1 \times SU(3)_2 \to SU(3)_{\rm QCD}$, as in top-coloron models [21], colored scalars associated to the breaking appear, which can be searched at the LHC in final states with tops. In next-to-minimal flavor violating scenarios as in [21,22], an interesting channel to consider at the LHC is the one with pair produced color-octet scalars, each decaying to $t\bar{c}$ (or $\bar{t}c$), and leading to same-sign dilepton final states.

References

[1] D. B. Kaplan and H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. **136B**, 183 (1984). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(84)91177-8

[2] K. Agashe, R. Contino and A. Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B **719**, 165 (2005) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2005.04.035 [hep-ph/0412089].

[3] O. Matsedonskyi, G. Panico and A. Wulzer, JHEP **1301**, 164 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2013)164 [arXiv:1204.6333 [hep-ph]].

[4] D. B. Kaplan, Nucl. Phys. B **365**, 259 (1991). doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(05)80021-5

[5] J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra, R. Benbrik, S. Heinemeyer and M. Prez-Victoria, Phys. Rev. D **88**, no. 9, 094010 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.094010 [arXiv:1306.0572 [hep-ph]].

[6] N. Vignaroli, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 075017 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.075017 [arXiv:1207.0830 [hep-ph]].

[7] N. Vignaroli, JHEP **1207**, 158 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2012)158 [arXiv:1204.0468 [hep-ph]].

[8] N. Vignaroli, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 115011 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.115011 [arXiv:1204.0478 [hep-ph]].

[9] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], JHEP **1411**, 104 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2014)104 [arXiv:1409.5500 [hep-ex]].

[10] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], JHEP **1602**, 110 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2016)110 [arXiv:1510.02664 [hep-ex]].

[11] C. Bini, R. Contino and N. Vignaroli, JHEP **1201**, 157 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2012)157 [arXiv:1110.6058 [hep-ph]].

[12] N. Vignaroli, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 9, 095027 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.095027 [arXiv:1404.5558 [hep-ph]].

[13] N. Vignaroli, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 11, 115009 (2015) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.91.115009 [arXiv:1504.01768 [hep-ph]].

[14] K. Mohan and N. Vignaroli, JHEP **1510**, 031 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2015)031 [arXiv:1507.03940 [hep-ph]].

[15] T. Golling *et al.*, arXiv:1606.00947 [hep-ph].

[16] J. Galloway, J. A. Evans, M. A. Luty and R. A. Tacchi, JHEP **1010**, 086 (2010) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2010)086 [arXiv:1001.1361 [hep-ph]].

[17] G. Cacciapaglia and F. Sannino, JHEP **1404**, 111 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2014)111 [arXiv:1402.0233 [hep-ph]].

[18] B. Bellazzini, R. Franceschini, F. Sala and J. Serra, JHEP **1604**, 072 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP04(2016)072 [arXiv:1512.05330 [hep-ph]].

[19] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino and N. Vignaroli, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **31**, no. 26, 1650155 (2016) doi:10.1142/S0217732316501558 [arXiv:1512.05334 [hep-ph]].

[20] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino and N. Vignaroli, Nucl. Phys. B **911**, 106 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2016.07.032 [arXiv:1602.07574 [hep-ph]].

[21] R. S. Chivukula, E. H. Simmons and N. Vignaroli, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 7, 075002 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.075002 [arXiv:1302.1069 [hep-ph]].

[22] R. S. Chivukula, E. H. Simmons and N. Vignaroli, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 034006 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.034006 [arXiv:1306.2248 [hep-ph]].